MST

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO.2321 OF 2007

Sunil Sukhdev Chandanshive Applicant
versus
The State of Maharashtra Respondent

Mr.S.B.Pawar with Ms.Hema Sharma for applicant.
Ms.M.H.Mhatre, APP for State.

CORAM : V.C.DAGA, J.

DATE : 18th July 2007

PC :

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and the learned APP for the State. This is an application under section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of bail. I was taken through the statements made by one Mahendra Somnath Yadav and Smt.Radha Shrikrishna Wankhede who have graphically described the role of the applicant in the commission of the offence.

2. At this stage, prima facie, it is not in dispute that the prima facie material showing positive role of the applicant in commission of the offence charged is available. The

MST

possibility of tampering with evidence and pressuring prosecution witnesses expressed by the learned Sessions Judge in order dated 2nd July 2007 while rejecting the bail application, that the applicant Sunil Chandanshive, if released on bail, cannot be ruled out. It gets strength from the character of the applicant which can be seen from the record.

3. In the above view of the matter I am not inclined to grant bail. However, hearing of the trial is expedited. Criminal Application is accordingly rejected.

(V.C.DAGA, J.)